

District Disciplinary Committee Checklist

r more in-depth information, please refer to Policy Violation Quick Reference Guide , Policy 3.0, and Protocol 3.0, ction 3.
Complaint filed with District Director (or next highest-level uninvolved District officer), the Investigating Officer (IO).
IO completed confidential investigation of charges validity within seven days.
Level One violation: IO discussed findings with complainant and charged member. If resolved, no further actions needed.
Unresolved charges or Level Two or Three violation: IO Officer appointed District Disciplinary Committee (DDC).
DDC received relevant information and carries out further investigation if needed.
If DDC determined charges are substantiated, DDC develops charges.
Confidential hearing scheduled and charged member notified (allowing 15 days for response).
Response received by charged member. (If no response received, hearing process continues).
DDC predetermined time limits for discussion, amount of evidence, and manner of delivery.
Any non-oral evidence received by DDC chair by 96 hours before scheduled hearing.
Hearing attendance restricted to DDC, complainant, charged member, witnesses, and presented evidence only.
Followed hearing agenda for order and hearing specifics.
Once all witnesses and evidence are heard, all parties except DDC are excused.
DDC discussed relevant information and determines if charges were substantiated by majority vote.
If charges substantiated, DDC discussed, determined, and proposed disciplinary actions or no action (see reference materials for six possible actions).
DDC related proposed disciplinary action to DEC.
DEC set time to consider proposed actions, clarifies information, and votes on the proposal (simply majority vote, removal from office requires two thirds majority).
IO notified charged member and WHQ of DEC's decision within 24 hours of decision.
Inform charged member they may appeal to Board of Directors within 15 days of notification.